

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/782,335	WATERS, S. COLLAR	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrea M. Valenti	3643	

All Participants:

(1) Andrea M. Valenti.

(2) Gary D. Clapp.

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 June 2005

Time: 12:50pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 1, 11, 14, and 20

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called applicant to receive approval to do an examiner's amendment to the 4 independent claims to distinguish that the reservoir inlet is adjacent and spaced from the open top and that there is a drainage outlet adjacent to the bottom wall of the reservoir so that fluid can travel to a lower stackable unit with a reservoir..